

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA**

PATRICIA L. POLOKA,

Civil Action No. 2:17-cv-00828-CB

Plaintiff,

v.

Judge Cathy Bissoon

CITY OF PITTSBURGH,

Defendant.

JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(f) REPORT OF THE PARTIES

1. Identification of counsel.

For Plaintiff

Colleen Ramage Johnston
Nikki Velisaris Lykos
Johnston Lykos, LLC
525 William Penn Place, 28th Floor
Pittsburgh, PA 15219
412-325-7700 – phone
412-325-7755 – fax
cjohnston@johnstonlykos.law
nlykos@johnstonlykos.law

Attorneys for Plaintiff

For Defendant

Matthew S. McHale
Associate City Solicitor
City of Pittsburgh Department of
Law
414 Grant Street, Room 323
Pittsburgh, PA 15219
412-255-2025 – phone
412-255-2285
matthew.mchale@pittsburghpa.gov

Attorneys for Defendant

2. Set forth the general nature of the case.

Plaintiff, Patricia L. Poloka, alleges that her current employer, City of Pittsburgh, discriminated against her on the basis of her sex, female, with respect to various terms and conditions of her employment. Defendant, City of Pittsburgh, asserts that all of its actions were lawful and in compliance with federal and state law and it did not discriminate against Plaintiff.

3. Date Rule 26(f) Conference was held, the identification of those participating therein and the identification of any party who may not yet have been served or entered an appearance as of the date of said Conference.

The Rule 26(f) conference was held on January 8, 2018. Counsel who participated for the parties are as follows:

Colleen Ramage Johnston for Plaintiff

Mathew S. McHale for Defendant

4. **Date of Rule 16 Initial Conference as scheduled by the Court:** (Lead Trial Counsel and unrepresented parties shall attend the Rule 16 Initial Scheduling Conference with their calendars in hand for the purpose of scheduling other pre-trial events and procedures, including a Post-Discovery Status Conference; counsel and unrepresented parties shall attend the Rule 16 Initial Scheduling Conference; Counsel and unrepresented parties shall attend the Rule 16 Initial Scheduling Conference prepared to discuss the anticipated number of depositions and identities of potential deponents and the anticipated dates by which interrogatories, requests for production of documents and requests for admissions will be served):

January 11, 2018 at 10:30 a.m.

5. **Identify any party who filed or anticipates filing a dispositive motion pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 12 and the date(s) by which any such anticipated motion may be filed:**

At this time, neither party anticipates filing a dispositive motion pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 12.

6. **Designate the specific Alternate Dispute Resolution (ADR) process the parties have discussed and selected, if any, and specify the anticipated time frame for completion of the ADR process. Set forth any other information the parties wish to communicate to the court regarding the ADR designation:**

The parties have selected Mediation as their form of ADR and are in the process of scheduling their Mediation.

7. **Set forth any change that any party proposes to be made in the timing, form or requirements of Fed.R.Civ.P. Rule 26(a) disclosures, whether such change is opposed by any other party, whether any party has filed a motion seeking such change and whether any such motion has been ruled on by the Court:**

Rule 26(a)(1) Disclosures shall be exchanged by January 25, 2018, within 21 days

after the scheduled date of the Rule 16(f) conference (presently scheduled for January 11, 2018).

8. **Subjects on which fact discovery may be needed.** (By executing this report, no party be deemed to (1) have waived the right to conduct discovery on subjects not listed herein or (2) be required to first seek the permission of the Court to Conduct discovery with regard to subjects not listed herein):

The parties anticipate needing fact discovery regarding liability, damages, and the following subjects, including but not limited to:

- Plaintiff's allegations as stated in her Complaint;
- Plaintiff's damages;
- Defendant's denials of Plaintiff's allegations as denied in Defendant's Answer; and
- Defendant's Affirmative Defenses.

9. **Set forth suggested dates for the following** (The parties may elect by agreement to schedule a Post-Discovery Status Conference, as identified in Paragraph 12, below, at the conclusion of Fact-Discovery rather than at the conclusion of Expert Discovery. In that event, the parties should provide suggested dates only for the events identified in sub-paragraphs 9.a through 9.e, below. The parties shall provide such information even if dispositive motions pursuant to Fed.R.Civ.P. 12 have been or are anticipated to be filed. If there are dates on which the parties have been unable to agree, set forth the date each party proposes and a brief statement in support of each such party's proposed date. Attach to this report form a proposed Court Order setting forth all dates agreed to below and leaving a blank for the insertion of a date by the Court for any date not agreed to):

- a. **Date(s) on which disclosures required by Fed.R.Civ. 26(a) have been or will be made:**

January 25, 2018 – 21 days after the date of the Initial Case Management Conference (presently scheduled for January 11, 2018)

- b. **Date by which additional parties shall be joined:**

February 26, 2018 – 45 days after the date of the Initial Case Management Conference (presently scheduled for January 11, 2018)

- c. **Date by which pleadings shall be amended:**

March 12, 2017 – 60 days after the date of the Initial Case Management Conference (presently scheduled for January 11, 2018)

d. Date by which fact discovery should be completed:

July 10, 2018 – 180 days after the date of the Initial Case Management Conference (presently scheduled for January 11, 2018)

e. If the parties agree that discovery should be conducted in phases or limited to or focused on particular issues, identify the proposed phases or issues and the dates by which discovery as to each phase or issue should be completed:

The parties have agreed to schedule a Post-Discovery Status Conference at the conclusion of Fact Discovery.

f. Date by which plaintiff's expert report (if any) will be filed:

The parties did not discuss dates for paragraphs 9f – 9k, as the parties agreed to participate in a post-discovery status conference at the conclusion of fact discovery.

g. Date by which depositions of plaintiff's expert(s) should be completed:

The parties did not discuss dates for paragraphs 9f – 9k, as the parties agreed to participate in a post-discovery status conference at the conclusion of fact discovery.

h. Date by which defendant's expert reports (if any) will be filed:

The parties did not discuss dates for paragraphs 9f – 9k, as the parties agreed to participate in a post-discovery status conference at the conclusion of fact discovery.

i. Date by which depositions of defendant's experts (if any) should be completed:

The parties did not discuss dates for paragraphs 9f – 9k, as the parties agreed to participate in a post-discovery status conference at the conclusion of fact discovery.

j. Date by which third party's expert reports (if any) will be filed:

The parties did not discuss dates for paragraphs 9f – 9k, as the parties agreed to participate in a post-discovery status conference at the conclusion of fact discovery.

k. Date by which depositions of third party's experts (if any) should be completed:

The parties did not discuss dates for paragraphs 9f – 9k, as the parties agreed to participate in a post-discovery status conference at the conclusion of fact discovery.

10. If the parties agree that changes should be made to the limitations on discovery imposed by the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure or Local Rule or that any other limitations should be imposed on discovery, set forth such changes or limitations.

N/A

11. Please answer the following questions in regard to the discovery of electronically stored information ("ESI"):

a. ESI. Is either party seeking the discovery of ESI in this case?

 X Yes No

If disputed, identify the nature of the dispute _____

b. ESI Discovery Plan. The parties have reviewed and discussed the Court's Checklist for Rule 26(f) Meet and Confer Regarding Electronically Stored Information set forth in Appendix LCvR 26.2.C - Checklist to the Local Rules and:

 X Have agreed that, in light of the facts and issues in this case, there is no need to complete an ESI discovery plan, and will conduct ESI discovery by: allowing each party to search its own ESI in response to discovery requests and to produce responsive documents by PDF, unless requested in native format provided such ESI is reasonably accessible.

 The Parties have developed an ESI discovery plan (as attached).

 The Parties will have an ESI discovery plan completed by _____.

c. Preservation. Have the parties agreed on any protocol for the preservation of electronic data and/or potentially relevant ESI?

☒ Yes ☐ No

The parties have agreed on a protocol for the preservation of electronic data and/or potentially relevant ESI. Each party will preserve ESI as it exists.

If no, what disputes remain outstanding _____

- d. **ADR.** Does any party believe that the exchange of ESI is necessary prior to conducting meaningful Alternative Dispute Resolution ("ADR") in this case?

☒ Yes ☐ No

- e. **Clawback Agreement.** The parties have reviewed FRCP 26(b)(5), FRE 502 and LCR 16.1D, Procedures Following Inadvertent Disclosure, and:

☒ Request the Court enter an Order implementing Federal Rule of Evidence 502(d) such as the model Order set forth in Appendix LCvR 16.1.D to the Local Rules and filed with this Report.

☐ Have agreed on alternate non-waiver language, which either is or will be incorporated within the ESI discovery plan.

☐ Are unable to agree on appropriate non-waiver language.

- f. **EDSM and E-Mediator.** Does any party believe that the appointment of an E-Discovery Special Master ("EDSM") or E-Mediator would help resolve ESI discovery issues in this case?

☐ Yes ☒ No

- g. **Other.** Identify all outstanding issues or disputes concerning ESI.

12. Whether the parties have elected to schedule the Post-Discovery Status Conference following the completion of Fact Discovery or Expert Discovery.

The parties have elected to schedule a Post-Discovery Status Conference following the completion of Fact Discovery. The parties will be prepared at the Post-Discovery Status Conference to discuss the items set forth in paragraph 9 as well as the items identified below, by attending with their calendars in hand. In addition, a representative of each party with settlement authority shall be required to attend, and representatives of any insurance company providing coverage shall be required to be available by telephone throughout the Conference.

- a. **Settlement and/or transfer to an ADR procedure;**
 - b. **Dates for the filing of expert reports and the completion of expert discovery as itemized in sub-paragraphs 9.f. through 9.k., above, if the parties elected to defer such discovery until after the Post-Discovery Status Conference;**
 - c. **Dates by which dispositive motions pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 56, replies thereto and responses to replies should be filed;**
 - d. **Dates by which parties' pre-trial statements should be filed;**
 - e. **Dates by which *in limine* and *Daubert* motions and responses thereto should be filed;**
 - f. **Dates on which motions *in limine* and *Daubert* motions shall be heard;**
 - g. **Dates proposed for final pre-trial conference;**
 - h. **Presumptive and final trial dates.**
13. **Any other orders which should be entered by the Court pursuant to Rule 16(b) or 26(c).**
- None.
14. **Whether the parties anticipate appointment of a special master.**
- No.
15. **Positions on matters for which parties have failed to agree.**
- None.
16. **Whether the parties have considered settlement and the nature of that consideration.**
- The parties have not discussed settlement yet, but are in the process of scheduling a Mediation.

Respectfully submitted:

s/Colleen Ramage Johnston
Colleen Ramage Johnston
Nikki Velisaris Lykos
Johnston Lykos, LLC
525 William Penn Place, 28th Floor
Pittsburgh, PA 15219
412-325-7700 – phone
412-325-7755 – fax
cjohnston@johnstonlykos.law
nlykos@johnstonlykos.law

Attorneys for Plaintiff

s/Matthew S. McHale
Matthew S. McHale
Associate City Solicitor
City of Pittsburgh Department of Law
414 Grant Street, Room 323
Pittsburgh, PA 15219
412-255-2025 – phone
412-255-2285
matthew.mchale@pittsburghpa.gov

Attorneys for Defendant